



K. Pistone, I. Eisenman, and V. Ramanathan (June 2019)
Radiative Heating of an Ice-Free Arctic Ocean

IGSD Plain Language Summary

[Pistone, Eisenman, & Ramanathan \(2019\)](#) answer the question of how much more forcing enters the climate system when the Arctic is annually ice-free during all of the sunlit summer months. Previous work by the same authors showed that the ice lost between 1979 and 2011 added additional forcing equivalent to 25% of the climate forcing from CO₂ during the same time frame.¹

Observations have shown that “Arctic sea ice retreat per degree of global warming is 2.1 times larger than the CMIP5 ensemble-mean result,” which suggests that there may be substantial systematic biases in the model projections, and that it is possible the Arctic will become annually ice free within decades.² The ice that typically covers the Arctic Ocean reflects back incoming solar radiation, and without the reflective ice, the ocean absorbs that energy, approximately 6 times more than the ice-covered surface.³

When all of the Arctic sea ice is gone during the sunlit part of the year, the Arctic Ocean region albedo is decreased by 11.5%, adding 21 W/m² of solar heating to the region, relative to the 1979 baseline, which averaged over the globe amounts to 0.71 W/m².⁴ Of this 0.71 W/m², 0.21 W/m² occurred between 1979 and 2016, with approximately half (0.11 W/m²) of this realized heating occurring from 2000–2016.⁵

The authors found that this 0.71 W/m² of forcing is equivalent to adding 1 trillion tons of CO₂ to the atmosphere, which would equal 25 years of CO₂ emissions at the current rate of 40 billion tons of CO₂ per year.⁶ This means that if the Arctic were to be annually ice free, the time for achieving carbon neutrality and adapting to climate change would be drastically shortened.⁷

Clouds can further alter the forcing in the Arctic region, so in addition to the baseline scenario where cloud cover was assumed to remain the same as present day,⁸ the authors considered two extremes scenarios: one with completely clear skies and a second with overcast skies.⁹ Without any clouds present, the forcing in the Arctic Ocean, when averaged over the globe, is 2.2 W/m², three times more than the baseline of 0.71 W/m², while for overcast skies the forcing drops by half to 0.37 W/m², meaning that even if clouds provide a helpful negative feedback, the total loss of Arctic sea ice would still contribute significant forcing that would be nearly double the warming from the current ice loss.¹⁰

¹ Pistone K., *et al.* (2019) [Radiative Heating of an Ice-Free Arctic Ocean](#), GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS 46(13):7474–7480, 7474 (“Satellite observations suggest that the albedo changes associated with the decline of Arctic sea ice during 1979–2011 contributed a global-mean increase in solar heating of 0.21 W/m², which is a quarter as large as the direct radiative forcing from rising CO₂ concentrations during the same period (Pistone *et al.*, 2014).”); *see also* Pistone K., *et al.* (2014) [Observational determination of albedo decrease caused by vanishing Arctic sea ice](#),

PROC. NAT'L. ACAD. SCI. 111(9):3322–3326, 3322 (“The decline of Arctic sea ice has been documented in over 30 y of satellite passive microwave observations. The resulting darkening of the Arctic and its amplification of global warming was hypothesized almost 50 y ago but has yet to be verified with direct observations. This study uses satellite radiation budget measurements along with satellite microwave sea ice data to document the Arctic-wide decrease in planetary albedo and its amplifying effect on the warming. The analysis reveals a striking relationship between planetary albedo and sea ice cover, quantities inferred from two independent satellite instruments. We find that the Arctic planetary albedo has decreased from 0.52 to 0.48 between 1979 and 2011, corresponding to an additional 6.4 ± 0.9 W/m² of solar energy input into the Arctic Ocean region since 1979. Averaged over the globe, this albedo decrease corresponds to a forcing that is 25% as large as that due to the change in CO₂ during this period, considerably larger than expectations from models and other less direct recent estimates. Changes in cloudiness appear to play a negligible role in observed Arctic darkening, thus reducing the possibility of Arctic cloud albedo feedbacks mitigating future Arctic warming.”).

² Pistone K., *et al.* (2019) [Radiative Heating of an Ice-Free Arctic Ocean](#), GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS 46(13):7474–7480, 7475 (“The observed Arctic sea ice retreat per degree of global warming is 2.1 times larger than the CMIP5 ensemble-mean result, with no model (blue histogram in Figure 1b) simulating a value as extreme as the observations (red line in Figure 1b). This suggests that there may be substantial systematic biases in the model projections of the level of global warming at which the Arctic becomes annually ice free. Given that this bias exists in the models and that future changes may not follow past observations due to internal climate variability and other factors, we cannot exclude the extreme possibility that the Arctic could become annually ice free during the coming decades. This extreme possibility is the focus of the current study.”).

³ Pistone K., *et al.* (2019) [Radiative Heating of an Ice-Free Arctic Ocean](#), GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS 46(13):7474–7480, 7474 (“The disappearance of sea ice alters the Earth's energy balance because a low-albedo open ocean surface typically absorbs approximately 6 times more solar radiation than a surface covered with sea ice and snow, which has a substantially higher albedo (e.g., Perovich, 1998).”).

⁴ Pistone K., *et al.* (2019) [Radiative Heating of an Ice-Free Arctic Ocean](#), GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS 46(13):7474–7480, 7476 (“Hence, we focus on the baseline estimate scenario in which cloud conditions remain unchanged from the present. We find that the complete disappearance of Arctic sea ice throughout the sunlit part of the year in this scenario would cause the average planetary albedo of the Arctic Ocean (poleward of 60 °N) to decrease by 11.5% in absolute terms. This would add an additional 21 W/m² of annual-mean solar heating over the Arctic Ocean relative to the 1979 baseline state. Averaged over the globe, this implies a global radiative heating of 0.71 W/m² (Figure 2).”).

⁵ Pistone K., *et al.* (2019) [Radiative Heating of an Ice-Free Arctic Ocean](#), GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS 46(13):7474–7480, 7476 (“Of the 0.71 W/m² of globally averaged heating, 0.21 W/m² is estimated to have already occurred between 1979 and 2016. Approximately half (0.11 W/m²) of this realized heating occurred during the CERES observational record (2000–2016), with the other half occurring between 1979 and 1999 as estimated based on the observed relationship between satellite-derived sea ice concentration and albedo (Pistone *et al.*, 2014).”).

⁶ Pistone K., *et al.* (2019) [Radiative Heating of an Ice-Free Arctic Ocean](#), GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS 46(13):7474–7480, 7477 (“This heating of 0.71 W/m² is approximately equivalent to the direct radiative effect of emitting one trillion tons of CO₂ into the atmosphere (see calculation in Appendix A). As of 2016, an estimated 2.4 trillion tons of CO₂ have been emitted since the preindustrial period due to both fossil fuel combustion (1.54 trillion tons) and land use changes (0.82 trillion tons), with an additional 40 billion tons of CO₂ per year emitted from these sources during 2007–2016 (Le Quéré *et al.*, 2018). Thus, the additional warming due to the complete loss of Arctic sea ice would be equivalent to 25 years of global CO₂ emissions at the current rate.”).

⁷ Pistone K., *et al.* (2019) [Radiative Heating of an Ice-Free Arctic Ocean](#), GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS 46(13):7474–7480, 7477 (“This implies that if the Arctic sea ice were to disappear much more rapidly than in current climate model projections, it would drastically shorten the time available to adapt to climate changes and the time for achieving carbon neutrality.”).

⁸ Pistone K., *et al.* (2019) [Radiative Heating of an Ice-Free Arctic Ocean](#), GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS 46(13):7474–7480, 7475 (“A source of uncertainty in the present analysis is how cloudiness above the Arctic Ocean

may change in the future in response to sea ice retreat. Previous findings suggest that the fractional cloud cover and average cloud optical properties may remain approximately unchanged, which we adopt here as a baseline estimate.”).

⁹ Pistone K., *et al.* (2019) [Radiative Heating of an Ice-Free Arctic Ocean](#), GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS 46(13):7474–7480, 7477 (“We examine two perhaps unrealistically extreme future Arctic cloud scenarios: at one extreme, an ice-free Arctic Ocean that is completely cloud free and at the other extreme, an ice-free Arctic Ocean that is completely overcast.”).

¹⁰ Pistone K., *et al.* (2019) [Radiative Heating of an Ice-Free Arctic Ocean](#), GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS 46(13):7474–7480, 7477 (“We examine two perhaps unrealistically extreme future Arctic cloud scenarios: at one extreme, an ice-free Arctic Ocean that is completely cloud free and at the other extreme, an ice-free Arctic Ocean that is completely overcast. For simplicity, in the latter scenario we use distributions of cloud optical thickness based on present-day observations (see Appendix A). Both of these extreme scenarios are shown in Figure 2. The cloud-free, ice-free Arctic scenario results in a global radiative heating of 2.2 W/m² compared with the 1979 baseline state, which is 3 times more than the 0.71 W/m² baseline estimate derived above for unchanged clouds. The completely overcast ice-free Arctic scenario results in a global radiative heating of 0.37 W/m², which is approximately half as large as the 0.71 W/m² baseline estimate (Figure 2b). This suggests that even in the presence of an extreme negative cloud feedback, the global heating due to the complete disappearance of the Arctic sea ice would still be nearly double the already-observed heating due to the current level of ice loss.”).