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About the Institute for Governance & Sustainable Development (IGSD)

IGSD’s mission is to promote just and sustainable societies and to protect the environment by advancing the understanding, development, and implementation of effective and accountable systems of governance for sustainable development.

As part of its work, IGSD is pursuing “fast-action” climate mitigation strategies that will result in significant reductions of climate emissions to limit temperature increase and other climate impacts in the near-term. The focus is on strategies to reduce non-CO₂ climate pollutants, protect sinks, and enhance urban albedo with smart surfaces, as a complement to cuts in CO₂. It is essential to reduce both non-CO₂ pollutants and CO₂, as neither alone is sufficient to provide a safe climate.

IGSD’s fast-action strategies include reducing emissions of the short-lived climate pollutants—black carbon, methane, tropospheric ozone, and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). Reducing HFCs starting with the Kigali Amendment to the Montreal Protocol has the potential to avoid up to 0.5 °C of warming by end of century. Parallel efforts to enhance energy efficiency of air conditioners and other cooling appliances during the phase down of HFCs can double the climate benefits at 2050, and by 2060 avoid the equivalent of up to 460 billion tonnes of CO₂.

About the Center for Human Rights and Environment (CHRE/CEDHA)

Originally founded in 1999 in Argentina, the Center for Human Rights and Environment (CHRE or CEDHA by its Spanish acronym) aims to build a more harmonious relationship between the environment and people. Its work centers on promoting greater access to justice and to guarantee human rights for victims of environmental degradation, or due to the non-sustainable management of natural resources, and to prevent future violations. To this end, CHRE fosters the creation of public policy that promotes inclusive socially and environmentally sustainable development, through community participation, public interest litigation, strengthening democratic institutions, and the capacity building of key actors.

CHRE addresses environmental policy and human rights impacts in the context of climate change through numerous advocacy programs including initiatives to promote fast action climate mitigation policies to contain and reverse climate change, to reduce the emission of short-lived climate pollutants such as black carbon, HFCs and methane, to protect glaciers and permafrost environments for their value as natural water storage and basin regulators, due to their melt impacts on sea level and its influence on ocean currents and air streams, as well as for their global albedo value and for the many other roles glaciers play in sustaining planetary ecological equilibrium. CHRE also fosters corporate accountability and human rights compliance to address the social and environmental impacts of key climate polluting industries such as oil and gas (including hydraulic fracturing), mining, paper pulp mills and artisanal brick production.
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1. Introduction and summary

This Background Note summarizes the science supporting the need for fast near-term climate mitigation. It also describes the importance of cutting short-lived climate pollutants and protecting sinks in order to slow self-reinforcing feedbacks and avoid tipping points, and explains why winning a fast mitigation sprint to 2030 is critical for addressing the climate emergency.

- Along the way to achieving the 2050 Net Zero target—or better, a Real Zero target—it is critical to select a pathway that not only reduces CO2 but that also reduces the short-lived climate pollutants (SLCPs)—black carbon (BC), methane (CH4), tropospheric ozone (O3), and hydrofluorocarbon (HFC) refrigerants—as fast as possible, along with other fast mitigation strategies, including protection of sinks; this is essential for achieving near-term and long-term climate targets, including the 2050 Net Zero target. (SLCPs are often referred to as “super pollutants” because of their potency.)
- Speed must become a key goal for selecting climate solutions, in order to provide the most avoided warming in the shortest period of time over the next decade or two, to slow the self-reinforcing feedbacks and avoid tipping points, and to protect the most vulnerable people and ecosystems.
- The window for effective mitigation to slow feedbacks and avoid tipping points is shrinking to perhaps 10 years or less, including the window to prevent crashing through the 1.5 °C guardrail.

![Figure 1: Projected warming](image)


- The world could hit the 1.5 °C guardrail by 2030, due to rising emissions, declining particulate air pollution that unmasks existing warming, and natural climate variability.
o The probability of exceeding 1.5 °C by 2025, at least temporarily, has nearly doubled since 2020, with a likely-as-not (44%) chance that at least one year could be 1.5 °C warmer, according to the World Meteorological Organization.7

o Weather extremes are becoming more common and severe. The record-breaking June 2021 heatwave in the Pacific Northwest (USA and Canada) was virtually impossible absent human-caused climate change,8 and would have been much less severe9 to human health.10

o The probability of such heat waves will increase by up to 200 times by the 2040s, occurring every 5 to 10 years, given our current emissions trajectory.11

o Global warming made the 2019 heatwaves in Western Europe up to 100 times more likely.12

o Greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere continue to increase at record rates despite the pandemic and economic slowdown. Methane levels in 2020 grew at the fastest rate since records began, adding 14.7 parts per billion (ppb) to reach a record 1892.3 ppb in December 2020.13 Global atmospheric CO₂ concentrations reached a new high of 419 parts per million (ppm) in May 2021, a 50% increase over pre-industrial levels.14

o The Earth is trapping twice as much heat as it did in 2005, with loss of reflective sea ice contributing significantly to the extra heat the planet is now retaining.15

- The three strategies that together are essential for keeping the planet liveable are: (i) reducing CO₂ by 45% by 2030, (ii) reducing short-lived super climate pollutants (SLCPs or super climate pollutants) by 35% or more, and (iii) removing up to 1,000 billion tons of CO₂ from the atmosphere by 2100, according to the IPCC’s Special Report on 1.5 °C.16

- Of these three strategies, cutting the SLCPs can avoid three times more warming at 2050 than CO₂ cuts can,17 reducing projected warming in the Arctic by two-thirds and the rate of global warming by half.18

2. Feedbacks and tipping points are key to understanding planetary emergency

Evidence from feedbacks and tipping points suggests that we are already in a state of planetary emergency, where both the risk and urgency of the emergency are acute. Six tipping points are projected to occur between the current 1 °C of warming and the 1.5 °C of warming expected in the next 10 years, with another eleven tipping points projected between 1.5 °C and 2 °C.19 Domino-like interactions among these systems are projected to lower thresholds and increase the risk of triggering a global cascade of tipping points.20

- Self-reinforcing feedbacks, including the loss of Arctic sea ice, are among the most vulnerable links in the chain of climate protection.21
3. Shrinking Arctic shield

Over the past several decades, the Arctic air temperature has been warming at three times the global average,\(^{22}\) and up to four times the global average for the area above 70°N,\(^{23}\) with even greater warming over the Arctic ocean.\(^{24}\) As a result, the extent of Arctic sea ice—a white shield reflecting incoming solar radiating safely back to space—is shrinking.\(^{25}\)

- From 1994 to 2017, the Arctic lost 7.6 trillion tons of sea ice, contributing to over a quarter of global ice loss in that period.\(^{26}\)
  - “The rate of [global] ice loss has risen by 57% since the 1990s – from 0.8 to 1.2 trillion tonnes per year…. Even though Earth's cryosphere has absorbed only a small fraction of the global energy imbalance [3.2 ± 0.3%] it has lost a staggering 28 trillion tonnes of ice between 1994 and 2017…. [T]here can be little doubt that the vast majority of Earth's ice loss is a direct consequence of climate warming.”\(^{27}\)
- A recent study using a new model for the effect of snow cover on satellite measurements of trends in sea ice thickness finds that the rate of decline in Arctic sea ice thickness may be underestimated by 60-100%.\(^{28}\)
  - “The Arctic is rapidly warming and experiencing tremendous changes in sea ice….\(^{29}\)
    - Arctic heatwaves have become as likely, if not more, as heat waves near the equator.\(^{30}\)
    - Siberia experienced heat extremes in 2020 that would have been “almost impossible” without human-caused global warming, including the first 100°F temperature recorded north of the Arctic Circle, with similar extremes being observed in the first half of 2021.\(^{31}\)
The 14 Septembers with the least Arctic sea ice extent have all been in the last 14 years; on September 15, 2020, the Arctic sea ice reached its annual minimum as the second lowest extent in the satellite record.\textsuperscript{32}  
Through late October 2020, the Arctic sea ice had not yet begun freezing in the Laptev Sea, an area known as the “birthplace of ice” for the Arctic Ocean.\textsuperscript{33}  
The Arctic's “Last Ice Area,” the Wandel Sea, saw unprecedented sea ice loss in August 2020 primarily due to abnormal weather patterns and warmth from the exposed ocean surfaces.\textsuperscript{34}  
Summer sea ice in this area north of Greenland was thought to be more resilient and that it would persist decades longer than rest of the Arctic,\textsuperscript{35}  providing a refuge for the region’s ice-dependent flora and fauna.\textsuperscript{36}  
Arctic mean surface temperatures may rise by up to 10 °C by the end of the century, above the 1985–2014 average.\textsuperscript{37}  

- The Arctic could become nearly ice-free in late summer during the September minimum extent within a decade, further reducing its heat-reflecting ability.\textsuperscript{38}  
  - Most of the arctic sea ice might become thin (less than 0.5 m) during September as early as 2025,\textsuperscript{39}  or possibly earlier given underestimates of current rates of thinning.\textsuperscript{40}  
  - Conditions free of sea ice over multiple summer months likely occurred during the last interglacial period, providing further independent support for predictions of ice-free conditions in late summer by 2035.\textsuperscript{41}  
  - Arctic summer sea ice in the seas surrounding the central Arctic Ocean—the “shelf seas”—will likely vanish during the late summer shortly after mid-century, with the Barents Sea and Greenland Sea ice-free year-round by the end of the century under high emissions scenarios.\textsuperscript{42}  

\textit{Figure 59: Monthly sea ice extent anomalies}

![Figure 59: Monthly sea ice extent anomalies](image)

Northern Hemisphere Extent Anomalies Sep 1979 - 2020

1981-2010 mean = 6.4 million sq km

slope = -13.1 ± 2.1 % per decade

Source: National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC), Sea Ice Index, “Monthly Sea Ice Extent Anomaly Graph” (last accessed 6 October 2020) (“This graph shows monthly ice extent anomalies plotted as a time series of percent difference between the extent for the month in question and the mean for that month based on the January 1981 to December 2010 data. The anomaly data points are plotted as plus signs and the trend line is plotted with a dashed grey line.”).
• In the extreme case when all Arctic sea ice is lost for the sunlit months, climate forcing equivalent to one trillion tons of CO$_2$ would be added to the climate system—on top of the forcing from the 2.4 trillion tons of CO$_2$ added in the 270 years since the Industrial Revolution—, advancing warming by 25 years.$^{43}$
  
  - This additional warming would be the equivalent of adding 56 ppm of CO$_2$ to the current CO$_2$ concentration,$^{44}$ which reached a seasonal peak of 419 ppm in May 2021.$^{45}$
  - The added forcing in the Arctic would be 21 W/m$^2$; averaged globally this would equal 0.71 W/m$^2$ of global forcing,$^{46}$ compared to the 1.83 W/m$^2$ added by anthropogenic emissions of CO$_2$ since the Industrial Revolution.$^{47}$

• If all of the cloud cover over the Arctic dissipates along with the loss of all sea ice, the added Arctic warming could be three times as much—the equivalent of three trillion tons of CO$_2$; in contrast, even if clouds increase to create completely overcast skies over the Arctic, the warming would still add the equivalent of 500 billion tons of CO$_2$ to the atmosphere.$^{48}$

• Further jeopardizing the future of summer sea ice is the loss of the strong, very old (>4 years old) multi-year Arctic sea ice, which comprised only 4.4% of the Arctic Ocean in March 2020; young, first-year ice—which is thinner, more fragile, and more susceptible to decline—now comprises most of the ice pack.$^{49}$
  
  - Less sea ice in the Arctic Ocean allows ocean waves to grow larger, allowing for an acceleration of ice breakup and retreat.$^{50}$
  - Arctic warming also leads to a greater number of cyclones and to more intense cyclones,$^{51}$ which contribute to Arctic sea ice decline$^{52}$ and vice-versa.$^{53}$
  - Declining Arctic sea ice has created an environment where more of the warmer Atlantic Ocean water enters the Arctic Ocean, which can further reduce sea ice thickness.$^{54}$
Warmer oceans are also accelerating sea ice loss, with warmer Pacific waters transporting “unprecedented quantities of heat” into the Arctic Ocean.\textsuperscript{55}

4. Permafrost emissions of CO\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{4}, and N\textsubscript{2}O

The accelerated Arctic warming \textit{risks triggering another self-reinforcing feedback—permafrost thaw},\textsuperscript{56} which would further \textit{amplify warming by releasing CO\textsubscript{2} and methane (CH\textsubscript{4})},\textsuperscript{57} as well as \textit{nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O), which also destroys stratospheric ozone}.\textsuperscript{58}

- Of the approximately 15 million square kilometers of permafrost,\textsuperscript{59} 3.4 million square kilometers have already thawed; and with warming of 1.5 °C approaching, \textit{another 4.8 million square kilometers could thaw}.
  - Abrupt thaw “will probably occur in <20% of the permafrost zone but could affect half of permafrost carbon,” and “models considering only gradual permafrost thaw are substantially underestimating carbon emissions.”\textsuperscript{61}
The amount of carbon stored in permafrost is nearly twice what is already in the atmosphere—1,700 Gt carbon in permafrost versus 850 Gt carbon in the atmosphere. Permafrost beneath the Arctic Ocean contains 60 Gt of methane and 560 Gt of organic carbon.

Reduced Arctic snow cover leads to increased risk of black carbon-emitting wildfires, and drier surfaces combined with fires and thawing can increase carbon emissions 40%. 3.3 million people, 42% of settlements, and 70% of current infrastructure in the permafrost domain is at risk of severe damage due to permafrost thaw by 2050, including 45% of oil and gas production fields in the Russian Arctic. Damage to Russian infrastructure alone due to permafrost thaw could cost $69 billion by 2050.

5. Methane from Arctic Shelf

There also is a risk that methane will be emitted from the shallow seabed of the East Siberian Arctic Shelf as the Arctic ocean warms, which would speed up other global warming impacts.

- Measurements in October 2020 by an international expedition on board a Russian research vessel are showing elevated methane release from the Arctic Shelf, according to a story by Jonathan Watts in The Guardian, 'Sleeping giant' Arctic methane deposits starting to release, scientists find

![Figure 94: Changes in permafrost](image-url)
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... (27 October 2020). The story quotes Swedish scientist Örjan Gustafsson of Stockholm University, stating that the “East Siberian slope methane hydrate system has been perturbed and the process will be ongoing.”

- According to an earlier isotopic analysis of methane from an Antarctic ice core record, up to 27% of methane emissions during the last deglaciation may have come from old carbon reservoirs of permafrost and hydrates; while this “serves only as a partial analog to current anthropogenic warming,” the authors stated that it is “unlikely” that today’s anthropogenic warming will release the carbon in these old reservoirs.70

6. Increasing melt rate of Greenland Ice Sheet

Other tipping points and feedbacks exist between 1.5 °C and 2 °C,71 as confirmed by two of the most recent IPCC Special Reports from October 201872 and September 2019.73

- Currently, the best estimate of the threshold for irreversible melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet is 1.6 °C; and while it may take several millennia to see the full extent of the sea-level rise—which would contribute 5–7 meters if all of Greenland melted—the “timescale of melt depends strongly on the magnitude and duration of the temperature overshoot.”74
  - In the past two decades, the melt rate across Greenland increased 250–575%,75 and the ice discharge from the Greenland Ice Sheet substantially increased; this will likely persist in the coming years.76
- The “evidence from tipping points alone suggests that we are in a state of planetary emergency: both the risk and urgency of the situation are acute….77

7. Persistence of ocean warming

Compounding the risk from self-reinforcing feedbacks and tipping points, warming will continue well after emissions stop; about 93% of the energy imbalance accumulates in the oceans as increased heat,78 and this will return to the atmosphere on a timescale of decades to centuries after emissions stop.79

8. Limited role of CO2 mitigation for near-term cooling

Cutting CO2 emissions by shifting from fossil fuels to clean energy is essential to do as fast as possible, but doing so will reduce co-emitted cooling aerosols along with CO2, offsetting climate benefits and even producing initial warming over the first decade or more.80

- These reflective particles are emitted during combustion of fossil fuels and currently “mask” warming of about 0.51 °C; and while the accumulated CO2 in the atmosphere will continue to cause warming for decades to centuries, the cooling aerosols will fall out of the atmosphere within days to months un-masking more of the existing warming.81
- Fast cuts to CO2 could avoid 0.1 °C of warming by 2050 and up to 1.6 °C by 2100,82 not accounting for warming due to the unmasking.83
  - This would require CO2 emissions to peak in 2030 and decline by 5.5% per year until carbon neutrality is reached around 2060–2070, after which emissions level off.84
  - If CO2 emissions were to peak in 2020 (this year) and decline at 5.5% per year until carbon neutrality is reached around mid-century then level off, this extreme scenario could avoid
0.3 °C of warming by 2050 and up to 1.9 °C by 2100, although unmasking of the cooling aerosol would still lead to net warming in the near term.\textsuperscript{85} 
\begin{itemize}
  \item A separate study found near-term warming within the next two decades of 0.02–0.10 °C due to cuts to fossil fuel CO\textsubscript{2} emissions and associated reductions in cooling aerosols.\textsuperscript{86}
\end{itemize}

\textbf{Figure 102: Climate temperature response to reductions in emissions of CO\textsubscript{2}, SLCPs, or both}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{climate_temperature_response}
\caption{Climate temperature response to reductions in emissions of CO\textsubscript{2}, SLCPs, or both}
\end{figure}

\begin{itemize}
  \item Cutting SLCPs is the only plausible way to limit warming due to unmasking of cooling aerosols over the next 20 years.\textsuperscript{87}
\begin{itemize}
  \item “In fact, given that the net effect of the fossil-fuel phase-out on temperature is minimal during the first 20 years (\textbf{Fig. 3}), reducing those other [non-fossil] emissions is the only plausible way in which to decrease warming during that period.”\textsuperscript{88}
\end{itemize}
  \item In contrast to the limited amount of warming reduced at 2050 by cutting CO\textsubscript{2}, fast cuts to SLCPs could avoid up to 0.6 °C of warming by 2050, and up to 1.2 °C by 2100,\textsuperscript{89} which would reduce projected warming in the Arctic by two-thirds and the rate of global warming by half.\textsuperscript{90}
\begin{itemize}
  \item The \textit{IPCC’s Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5 °C} concludes that cutting SLCPs is essential for staying below 1.5 °C.\textsuperscript{91}
  \item Similarly, the \textit{warning of the climate emergency issued in November 2019 from 11,000 scientists} also emphasizes the importance of cutting SLCPs:
    \begin{quote}
    “We need to promptly reduce the emissions of short-lived climate pollutants, including methane (figure 2b), black carbon (soot), and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). Doing this could slow climate feedback loops and potentially reduce the short-term warming...”
    \end{quote}
\end{itemize}
\end{itemize}

9. \textbf{Maximum role for mitigating short-lived super climate pollutants}

Aggressive mitigation of short-lived climate pollutants (SLCPs)—methane, tropospheric ozone, black carbon, and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)—is critical for near-term and long-term climate protection. These SLCPs also are known as “super climate pollutants.”

\begin{itemize}
\item Cutting SLCPs is the only plausible way to limit warming due to unmasking of cooling aerosols over the next 20 years.\textsuperscript{87}
\begin{itemize}
  \item “In fact, given that the net effect of the fossil-fuel phase-out on temperature is minimal during the first 20 years (\textbf{Fig. 3}), reducing those other [non-fossil] emissions is the only plausible way in which to decrease warming during that period.”\textsuperscript{88}
\end{itemize}
\item In contrast to the limited amount of warming reduced at 2050 by cutting CO\textsubscript{2}, fast cuts to SLCPs could avoid up to 0.6 °C of warming by 2050, and up to 1.2 °C by 2100,\textsuperscript{89} which would reduce projected warming in the Arctic by two-thirds and the rate of global warming by half.\textsuperscript{90}
\begin{itemize}
  \item The \textit{IPCC’s Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5 °C} concludes that cutting SLCPs is essential for staying below 1.5 °C.\textsuperscript{91}
  \item Similarly, the \textit{warning of the climate emergency issued in November 2019 from 11,000 scientists} also emphasizes the importance of cutting SLCPs:
    \begin{quote}
    “We need to promptly reduce the emissions of short-lived climate pollutants, including methane (figure 2b), black carbon (soot), and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). Doing this could slow climate feedback loops and potentially reduce the short-term warming...”
    \end{quote}
\end{itemize}
\end{itemize}
warming trend by more than 50% over the next few decades while saving millions of lives and increasing crop yields due to reduced air pollution (Shindell et al. 2017). The 2016 Kigali amendment to phase down HFCs is welcomed."

Methane (CH\textsubscript{4})

- Cutting methane emissions is the biggest and fastest strategy for slowing warming and keeping 1.5 °C within reach.\textsuperscript{94} A *Global Methane Assessment* from the CCAC and UNEP led by Dr. Drew Shindell concludes that available mitigation measures could reduce human-caused methane emissions by 45% by 2030 and avoid nearly 0.3 °C warming by the 2040s.\textsuperscript{95}
  - This would prevent 255,000 premature deaths, 775,000 asthma-related hospital visits, 73 billion hours of lost labour from extreme heat, and 26 million tonnes of crop losses globally (annual value beginning in 2030). Each tonne of methane reduced generates US$4300 in health, productivity, and other benefits.\textsuperscript{96} In addition, methane mitigation strategies provide further cost reductions and efficiency gains in the private sector, create jobs, and stimulate technological innovation.
  - Roughly 60% of available targeted measures have low mitigation costs (defined as less than US$21 per tonne of CO\textsubscript{2}e for GWP\textsubscript{100} and US$7 per tonne of CO\textsubscript{2}e for GWP\textsubscript{20}), and just over 50% of those have negative costs.
  - The greatest potential for mitigation is in the oil and gas sector, where the mitigation potential is 812–1,596 Mt/yr of CO\textsubscript{2}e for GWP\textsubscript{100} in 2030; using GWP\textsubscript{20}, the mitigation is 2,436–4,788 Mt/yr of CO\textsubscript{2}e.
  - The waste sector can provide mitigation of 812–1,008 Mt/yr of CO\textsubscript{2}e for GWP\textsubscript{100} in 2030; using GWP\textsubscript{20}, the mitigation is 2,436–3,024 Mt/yr of CO\textsubscript{2}e.
  - The agriculture sector can provide mitigation of 840 Mt/yr of CO\textsubscript{2}e for GWP\textsubscript{100} in 2030; using GWP\textsubscript{20}, the mitigation is 2,520 Mt/yr of CO\textsubscript{2}e.
  - The coal sector can provide mitigation of 336–700 Mt/yr of CO\textsubscript{2}e for GWP\textsubscript{100} in 2030; using GWP\textsubscript{20}, the mitigation is 1,008–2,100 Mt/yr of CO\textsubscript{2}e.
- As the *Global Methane Assessment* notes, “any action taken to reduce emissions will have an immediate pay off for climate in addition to the current and near-future human health and agricultural production. … Indeed, the expectation that a reduction in emissions will yield quick results, in the order of a decade, is confirmed and emphasizes the importance of methane.”\textsuperscript{97}
- Fast action to pursue all available methane mitigation measures now could slow the global rate of warming by 30% by mid-century.\textsuperscript{98}
Methane is increasingly being addressed under local and national laws, as well as under voluntary programs. Measures specifically targeting methane are needed, as broader decarbonization measures can only achieve 30% of the needed reductions.\textsuperscript{99}  
- California’s target is to reduce methane emissions by 40% by 2030.\textsuperscript{100}  
- The U.S. Climate Alliance aims to reduce methane emissions across all sectors by 40–50% by 2030,\textsuperscript{101} which includes reducing emissions from the energy sector by 40–45% by 2025,\textsuperscript{102} from the waste sector by 40–50% by 2030,\textsuperscript{103} and from the agricultural sector where emissions can be reduced 30% from enteric fermentation\textsuperscript{104} and up to 70% from manure management by 2030.\textsuperscript{105}  
- In the North America Climate, Clean Energy, and Environment Partnership signed in 2016, the United States, Canada, and Mexico agreed to reduce methane emissions from the oil and gas sector by 40-45% by 2025 and committed to develop and implement federal regulations to reduce emissions from existing new sources in the oil and gas sector as well as to develop and implement national methane reduction strategies for key sectors, including oil and gas, agriculture, and waste and food management as soon as possible.\textsuperscript{106}  
- The current European Union climate target is to reduce all greenhouse gas emissions by 40% compared to 1990 levels by 2030, with a proposal to increase this target to 55%.\textsuperscript{107} This will require 35–37% methane emission reductions by 2030 compared to 2005 levels.\textsuperscript{108} The EU plans to review all relevant environmental and climate legislation bearing on methane emissions, including the Effort Sharing Regulation which sets out binding anthropogenic methane reductions for Member States,\textsuperscript{109} and the National Emissions Reduction Commitments Directive.\textsuperscript{110}
According to a report commissioned by the European Union on global trends in methane emissions, “[r]elative to the year 2010, the most stringent emission scenarios (i.e. MTFR or a 2° scenario) lead to a CO\textsubscript{2}e emission reduction of 2.4 to 3.7 Gt annually in 2030 and 2.9 to 5.1 Gt in 2050…” and this would close 15–33% of the emission gap identified in the 2017 UNEP Emissions Gap Report.\textsuperscript{111}

The CCAC calculates for the oil and gas sector that “Absolute reduction target of at least 45% reduction in methane emissions by 2025 and 60% to 75% by 2030…are realistic and achievable targets ….”\textsuperscript{112}

The Clean Air Task Force states that available technology can reduce oil and gas methane emissions by 75%; additionally, 50% of all sector methane emissions reduction are possible at no net cost.\textsuperscript{113}

President Biden’s budget request to Congress includes $480 million for Department of Interior and $100 million for Department of Agriculture initiatives to remediate orphan wells and abandoned mines, tripling the current annual discretionary funding to remediate thousands of abandoned oil and gas wells and reclaim abandoned mines. This investment includes: $165 million for DOI’s Abandoned Mine Land and Economic Revitalization program; and $169 million in a new Energy Community Revitalization Program, which will help accelerate this remediation and reclamation work on DOI lands and support work on non-Federal lands through grants to States and Tribes.

The President’s budget also includes $485 million to support other multilateral climate initiatives (including $300 million for the Clean Technology Fund, $100 million for multilateral adaptation funds, and $82 million to the Montreal Protocol Multilateral Fund), and we expect some of this to support the Global Methane Initiative.\textsuperscript{114}

Specific measures to reduce methane emissions include:

- Strengthening methane mitigation policies by implementing readily available technologies, laws, and governance structures to their fullest and considering ways to expand methane mitigation through other available avenues.\textsuperscript{115}
- Addressing leaks\textsuperscript{116} and reducing venting\textsuperscript{117} in the oil and gas sector. The Clean Air Task Force states that prohibiting venting of natural gas can reduce emission by 95%.\textsuperscript{118}
- Eliminating flaring from oil and gas operations, while shifting to clean energy.\textsuperscript{119}
- Improving feeding and manure management on farms. In the United States, this could cut emissions from manure by as much as 70% and emissions from enteric fermentation by 30%.\textsuperscript{120}
- Upgrading solid waste and wastewater treatment.\textsuperscript{121}
- Reducing food waste, diverting organic waste from landfills, and improving landfill management, which could reduce landfill emissions in the United States by 50% by 2030.\textsuperscript{122}

In addition, a global methane agreement has been suggested,\textsuperscript{123} as has an Arctic methane agreement.\textsuperscript{124}

**Black carbon and tropospheric ozone (O\textsubscript{3})**

Black carbon and tropospheric ozone are local air pollutants and typically addressed under national or regional air pollution laws, as well as through the voluntary programs of the Climate and Clean Air Coalition to Reduce Short-Lived Climate Pollutants (CCAC).\textsuperscript{125}
Cutting black carbon and tropospheric ozone can save up to 2.4 million lives every year, and increase annual crop production by more than 50 million tons, worth US $4-33 billion a year, as calculated in 2011.\(^\text{126}\)

California has cut black carbon emissions by 90\% under its air pollution laws and provides a model for other jurisdictions.\(^\text{127}\)

- The Arctic is nearly five times more sensitive to black carbon emitted in the Arctic region than from similar emissions in the mid-latitudes.\(^\text{128}\) In the Arctic, black carbon not only warms the atmosphere but also facilitates additional warming by darkening the snow and ice and reducing albedo, or reflectivity, allowing the darker surface to absorb extra solar radiation and cause further melting.\(^\text{129}\)
  - Heavy-Fuel Oil (HFO) used in shipping is a significant source of black carbon, and the International Maritime Organization (IMO) has drafted a proposal to ban it in the Arctic beginning in July 2024 for some ships, with waivers and exemptions for others until July 2029.\(^\text{130}\) (HFO has been banned in the Antarctic since 2011.\(^\text{131}\))
  - If the HFO ban had been in effect in the Arctic in 2019, as currently drafted, it would have banned only 16\% of HFO used in the Arctic, and reduced only 5\% of the black carbon.\(^\text{132}\)
  - However, if the Arctic ban were imposed without the waivers or exemptions, black carbon emissions could have been reduced by 30\%.\(^\text{133}\)
  - In 2019, Arctic Council countries set a collective target of reducing black carbon emissions by 25–33\% by 2025 compared to 2013 levels.\(^\text{134}\) Adopting best available techniques could halve black carbon emissions by 2025 and surpass the current goal.\(^\text{135}\) These reductions would improve air quality by reducing exposure of fine particle concentrations from 18 million to 1 million people by 2050 and avoid 40\% of air pollution-related deaths in Arctic Council countries by mid-century.\(^\text{136}\)
  - Banning investments in oil and gas development in the Arctic can help to further protect the region. All the major U.S. banks—Bank of America, Goldman Sachs, JP Morgan Chase, Wells Fargo, Citi, and Morgan Stanley—have committed not to fund oil and gas exploration in the Arctic.\(^\text{137}\) In January 2021, sales of Arctic drilling leases were at an all-time low, mostly due to the public commitments made by major banks.\(^\text{138}\) Insurance companies are also starting to commit to banning coverage of Arctic oil projects, including AXA, Swiss RE, and Zurich Insurance.\(^\text{139}\)

- It is possible to reduce 70\% of global black carbon emissions by 2030,\(^\text{140}\) including by implementing the following measures:
  - Reducing on-road and off-road diesel emissions by mandating diesel particulate filters while eliminating diesel and other high-emitting vehicles and shifting to clean forms of transportation.\(^\text{141}\)
  - Eliminating flaring, while shifting to clean energy.\(^\text{142}\)
  - Switching to clean cooking and heating methods.\(^\text{143}\)
  - Banning heavy fuel oil in the Arctic and establishing black carbon emission standards for vessels by amending Annex VI of the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL).\(^\text{144}\)
  - Ensuring fast ratification of the Gothenburg Protocol and the 2012 amendment that includes controls for black carbon.\(^\text{145}\)
**Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)**

- HFCs are now being phased down under the Montreal Protocol’s Kigali Amendment, with the potential to **avoid up to 0.5 °C of warming by 2100**. The initial phasedown schedule of the Kigali Amendment avoids about 90% of the potential, or up to 0.44 °C. More mitigation is available from a faster phasedown schedule, from collecting and destroying HFCs at end of product life, recycling and destroying HFC “banks” embedded in products and equipment, early replacement of older inefficient cooling equipment using HFC refrigerants, and reducing refrigerant leaks through better design, manufacturing, and servicing.
- The Kigali Amendment also requires Parties to destroy HFC-23, a by-product of the production of HCFC-22, to the extent practicable, and this will provide additional mitigation not included in the 0.5 °C calculation.
- Improving energy efficiency of cooling equipment during the HFC phasedown can more than double the climate benefits in CO\textsubscript{2}e by reducing emissions from the power plants that provide the electricity to run the equipment.

**Nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O)**

- While not an SLCP, long-lived nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O) is the most significant anthropogenic ozone depleting greenhouse gas not yet controlled by the Montreal Protocol. Through mandatory control measures, the Montreal Protocol could spur adoption of technologies to reduce N\textsubscript{2}O emissions, which are contributing the equivalent of about 10% of today’s CO\textsubscript{2} warming.
- Controlling nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O) emissions could provide climate mitigation of about 1.67 GtCO\textsubscript{2}e GWP\textsubscript{100} by 2050 with 0.94 GtCO\textsubscript{2}e from agriculture and about 0.6 GtCO\textsubscript{2}e from industry in 2050. In the industrial sector, abatement technology has been available and utilized by manufacturers in developed countries since the 1990s. Moreover, only five countries produce 86% of industrial N\textsubscript{2}O: China, the United States, Singapore, Egypt, and Russia.
- In the agriculture sector, several solutions have been found to be cost-effective in reducing N\textsubscript{2}O emissions from agricultural processes: precision farming using variable rate technology (VRT) and nitrogen inhibitors that suppress the microbial activity that produces N\textsubscript{2}O. Studies have found that VRT can increase yields by 1–10%, while reducing 4%–37% of nitrogen fertilization. Another solution, the SOP product line, stimulates nitrogen-uptake in crops and inhibits GHG emissions from manure.

**Strategies for protecting the Arctic and for removing non-CO\textsubscript{2} climate pollutants**

Specific opportunities to protect the vulnerable Arctic include working with Russia, the Arctic Council chair for 2021–2023, to focus on enhanced monitoring of natural and anthropogenic methane emissions and on reducing methane emissions in the region. Russia has identified environmental protection and climate change as a priority area, taking note of permafrost degradation and methane hydrates. Specifically, under Russia’s chairmanship, the Arctic Council could craft a binding agreement limiting methane emissions, building on the record of three previous legally binding agreements negotiated among Arctic States. An additional source of potential cooperation with Russia could be to reduce the
environmental and climate impacts from expanded use of the northern sea route. Other strategies are designed to slow the loss of Arctic ice and to restore the strong multi-year ice.

- Strategies being investigated for protecting and restoring Arctic ice include enhancing albedo of Arctic sea ice and marine cloud brightening. Strategies also are being investigated for removing methane and other non-CO\textsubscript{2} greenhouse gases from the atmosphere.

- In April 2021, the Department of Energy’s Advanced Research Projects Agency–Energy (ARPA-E) announced a $35 million program to reduce methane emissions, called REMEDY (Reducing Emissions of Methane Every Day of the Year). This three-year research program looks to reduce methane emissions from three sources in the oil, gas, and coal sectors. According to ARPA-E, these three sources contribute to at least 10% of U.S. anthropogenic methane emissions. In developing the REMEDY program, ARPA-E recognized the need for further research on methane capture from the air in parallel with efforts to capture CO\textsubscript{2}.

10. Importance of protecting forests and other sinks

Halting the destruction of our forests and other carbon sinks so they continue to store vast quantities of carbon and do not turn into sources of CO\textsubscript{2} provides critical fast mitigation, while also protecting biodiversity.

- Already, 17% of the Amazon forest has been destroyed, and there is an expected tipping point when 20 to 40% is lost.
- With increased deforestation, including from fires, greater disturbances, and higher temperatures, there is a point beyond which the Amazon rainforest would be difficult to reestablish, with recent measurements suggesting that the southeastern area of the Amazon has already shifted to a net carbon source as tree mortality increases and photosynthesis decreases.

Under current warming trends, the global land sink, which now mitigates ~30% of carbon emissions, could be cut by half as early as 2040, as increasing temperatures reduce photosynthesis and speed up respiration, calling into question national pledges under the Paris Accord, which rely heavily on land uptake of carbon to meet mitigation goals.

Effective ways to protect forests, peatlands, and other sinks include:

- Promoting forest protection and proforestation to allow existing forests to achieve their full ecological potential.
- Preserving existing peatlands and restoring degraded peatlands.
- Restoring coastal ‘blue carbon’ ecosystems.
- Prohibiting bioenergy.
11. Conclusion

The IPCC’s Special Report on 1.5 °C presents the three essential strategies for keeping the planet relatively safe: reducing CO₂, reducing SLCPs, and removing up to 1,000 billion tons of CO₂ from the atmosphere by 2100.¹⁷⁵

- Cutting SLCPs is the only known strategy that can slow warming and feedbacks in time to avoid catastrophic and perhaps existential impacts¹⁷⁶ from Hothouse Earth,¹⁷⁷ other than perhaps solar radiation management, which could cause unknown and potentially unmanageable side effects.
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21 Molina M., Ramanathan V., & Zaelke D. (9 October 2018) Climate report understates threat, BULLETIN OF THE ATOMIC SCIENTISTS (“The UN’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change’s Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5 degrees Celsius, released on Monday, is a major advance over previous efforts to alert world leaders and citizens to the growing climate risk. But the report, dire as it is, misses a key point: Self-reinforcing feedbacks and tipping points—the wildcards of the climate system—could cause the climate to destabilize even further. The report also fails to discuss the five percent risk that even existing levels of climate pollution, if continued unchecked, could lead to runaway warming—the so-called “fat tail” risk. These omissions may mislead world leaders into thinking they have more time to address the climate crisis, when in fact immediate actions are needed. To put it bluntly, there is a significant risk of self-reinforcing climate feedback loops pushing the planet into chaos beyond human control.”). See also Lenton T. M., Rockstrom J., Gaffney O., Rahmstorf S., Richardson K., Steffen W., & Schellnhuber H. J. (2019) Climate tipping points—too risky to bet against, Comment, NATURE 575(7784):592–595, 594 (“In our view, the consideration of tipping points helps to define that we are in a climate emergency and strengthens this year’s chorus of calls for urgent climate action — from schoolchildren to scientists, cities and countries.”); and Witze A. (10 September 2020) The Arctic is burning like never before — and that’s bad news for climate change, NATURE NEWS (“Wildfires blazed along the Arctic Circle this summer, incinerating tundra, blanketing blanket of snow and smoke and capping the second extraordinary fire season in a row. By the time the fire season waned at the end of last month, the blazes had emitted a record 244 megatonnes of carbon dioxide — that’s 35% more than last year, which also set records. One culprit, scientists say, could be peatlands that are burning as the top of the world melts.”).

22 Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (2021) Arctic Climate Change Update 2021: Key Trends and Impacts, Summary for Policy-makers, 2 (“An important update is that the increase in Arctic annual mean surface temperature (land and ocean) between 1971 and 2019 was three times higher than the increase in the global average during the same period.”); see also Vose R. S., Huang B., Yin X., Arndt D., Easterling D. R., Lawrimore J. H., Menne M. J., Sanchez-Lugo A., & Zhang H. M. (2021) Implementing Full Spatial Coverage in NOAA’s Global Temperature Analysis, GEOPHYS. RES. LETT. 48: e2020GL090873 (A revised NOAA dataset with greater spatial coverage confirms that the rate of Arctic warming is more than three times the global average, with “significantly more warming in the Arctic since 1980 (0.598 vs. 0.478°C dec⁻¹)” compared to the global average surface temperature trend of 0.179°C dec⁻¹ (± 0.032).); and NASA Press Release (14 January 2021) 2020 Tied for Warmest Year on Record, NASA Analysis Shows (“Earth’s warming trends are most pronounced in the Arctic, which the GISTEMP analysis shows is warming more than three times as fast as the rest of the globe over the past 30 years, according to Schmidt.”). See also Ballinger T. J., Overland J. E., Wang M., Bhatt U.S., Hanna E., Hanssen-Bauer I., Kim S.-J., Thomman R. L., & Walsh J. E. (2020) Surface Air Temperature, in ARCTIC REPORT CARD 2020, Thoman R. L., Richter-Menge J., & Druckenmiller M. L. (eds.), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (Figure 1 shows Arctic mean surface air temperature (SAT) anomalies rising by about 3ºC from 1900 to 2020 compared with global average SAT increase of about 1ºC); and Pistone K., Eisenman I., & Ramanathan V. (2014) Observational determination of albedo decrease caused by vanishing Arctic sea ice, PROC. NAT’L ACADEM. SCI. 111(9): 3322–3326, 3322 (“The Arctic has warmed by nearly 2 ºC since the 1970s, a temperature change three times larger than the global mean (1).”)
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Observational determination of albedo decrease caused by vanishing Arctic sea ice, PROC. NAT’L. ACADEM. SCI. 111(9): 3322–3326 (“The Arctic has warmed by nearly 2 °C since the 1970s, a temperature change three times larger than the global mean (1). During this period, the Arctic sea ice cover has retreated significantly, with the summer minimum sea ice extent decreasing by 40% (2).”) See also Jansen E., et al. (2020) Past perspectives on the present era of abrupt Arctic climate change. NAT. CLIM. CHANGE 10: 714–721, 714 (“Annual mean temperature trends over the Arctic during the past 40 years show that over this period, where satellite data are available, major portions have warmed by more than 1 °C per decade (Fig. 1a, red colours and outlined portion; a warming of 4 °C within 40 years is hereafter referred to as 1 °C per decade). … Using a criterion based on the speed of near-surface air temperature warming over the past four decades, we find that the current Arctic is experiencing rates of warming comparable to abrupt changes, or D-O events, recorded in Greenland ice cores during the last glacial period. [During the last glacial period (120,000–11,000 years ago), more than 20 abrupt periods of warming, known as Dansgaard–Oeschger (D-O) events, took place.] Both past changes in the Greenland ice cores and the ongoing trends in the Arctic are directly linked to sea-ice retreat—in the Nordic Seas during glacial times and in the Eurasian Arctic at present. Abrupt changes have already been experienced and could, according to state-of-the-art climate models, occur in the Arctic during the twenty-first century, but climate models underestimate current rates of change in this region.”)
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Earth’s ice imbalance, THE CRYOSPHERE 15(1): 233–246, 233 (“The rate of [global] ice loss has risen by 57 % since the 1990s from 0.8 to 1.2 trillion tonnes per year – owing to increased losses from mountain glaciers, Antarctica, Greenland and from Antarctic ice shelves. … Even though Earth's cryosphere has absorbed only a small fraction of the global energy imbalance [3.2 ± 0.3 %], it has lost a staggering 28 trillion tonnes of ice between 1994 and 2017. … [T]here can be little doubt that the vast majority of Earth's ice loss is a direct consequence of climate warming.”).

Faster decline and higher variability in the sea ice thickness of the marginal Arctic seas when accounting for dynamic snow cover, THE CRYOSPHERE 15(5): 2429–2451, 2429, 2441 (“When the sea ice thickness in the period 2002–2018 is calculated using new snow data with more realistic variability and trends, we find mean sea ice thickness in four of the seven marginal seas to be declining between 60%–100% faster than when calculated with the conventional climatology.”; “We first assess regions where SIT was already in statistically significant decline when calculated with mW99. This is the case for all months in the Laptev and Kara seas and 4 of 7 months in the Chukchi and Barents sea. The rate of decline in these regions grew significantly when calculated with SnowModel-LG data (Fig. 10; green panels). Relative to the decline rate calculated with mW99, this represents average increases of 62% in the Laptev sea, 81% in the Kara Sea and 102% in the Barents Sea. The largest increase in an already statistically significant decline was in the Chukchi Sea in April, where the decline rate increased by a factor of 2.1. When analysed as an aggregated area and with mW99, the total marginal seas area exhibits a statistically significant negative trend in November, December, January and April. The East Siberian Sea is the only region to have a month of decline when calculated with mW99 but not with SnowModel-LG.”).
Please note that ent will now begin its seasonal increase through autumn and winter. …

The Arctic is rapidly warming and experiencing tremendous changes in sea ice, ocean and terrestrial regions. Lack of long-term scientific observations makes it difficult to assess whether Arctic changes statistically represent a ‘new Arctic’ climate. Here we use five Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 5 class Earth system model large ensembles to show how the Arctic is transitioning from a dominantly frozen state and to quantify the nature and timing of an emerging new Arctic climate in sea ice, air temperatures and precipitation phase (rain versus snow). Our results suggest that Arctic climate has already emerged in sea ice. Air temperatures will emerge under the representative concentration pathway 8.5 scenario in the early- to mid-twenty-first century, followed by precipitation-phase changes. Despite differences in mean state and forced response, these models show striking similarities in their anthropogenically forced emergence from internal variability in Arctic sea ice, surface temperatures and precipitation-phase changes.
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annual freeze in the Laptev Sea has been caused by freakishly protracted warmth in northern Russia and the intrusion of Atlantic waters, say climate scientists who warn of possible knock-on effects across the polar region. Ocean temperatures in the area recently climbed to more than 5°C above average, following a record breaking heatwave and the unusually early decline of last winter’s sea ice. The trapped heat takes a long time to dissipate into the atmosphere, even at this time of the year when the sun creeps above the horizon for little more than an hour or two each day. Graphs of sea-ice extent in the Laptev Sea, which usually show a healthy seasonal pulse, appear to have flat-lined. As a result, there is a record amount of open sea in the Arctic. …The Laptev Sea is known as the birthplace of ice, which forms along the coast there in early winter, then drifts westward carrying nutrients across the Arctic, before breaking up in the spring in the Fram Strait between Greenland and Svalbard. If ice forms late in the Laptev, it will be thinner and thus more likely to melt before it reaches the Fram Strait. This could mean fewer nutrients for Arctic plankton, which will then have a reduced capacity to draw down carbon dioxide from the atmosphere.”
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United Nations Environment Programme & Climate & Clean Air Coalition (2021) Global Methane Assessment: Benefits and Costs of Mitigating Methane Emissions, 21 (“This is because a realistically paced phase-out of fossil fuels, or even a rapid one under aggressive decarbonization, is likely to have minimal net impacts on near-term temperatures due to the removal of co-emitted aerosols (Shindell and Smith 2019). As methane is the most powerful driver of climate change among the short-lived substances (Myhre et al. 2013), mitigation of methane emissions is very likely to be the most powerful lever in reducing near-term warming. This is consistent with other assessments; for example, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Fifth Assessment Report (IPCC AR5) showed that methane controls implemented between 2010 and 2030 would lead to a larger reduction in 2040 warming than the difference between RCPs 2.6, 4.5 and 6.0 scenarios. (The noted IPCC AR5-era scenarios are called representative concentration pathways (RCPs, with the numerical value indicating the target radiative forcing in 2100 (Kirtman et al. 2013)).”).

Shindell D. & Smith C. J. (2019) Climate and air-quality benefits of a realistic phase-out of fossil fuels, Nature 573: 408–411, Addendum “Methods” (“We note that, although this study focuses on the effects of fossil-fuel related emissions, accounting for the effects of reductions in greenhouse gases from non-fossil sources—including fluorinated gases and both methane and nitrous oxide from agriculture—along with biofuels that are a large source of warming black carbon, could eliminate any near-term penalty entirely. In fact, given that the net effect of the fossil-fuel phase-out on temperature is minimal during the first 20 years (Fig. 3), reducing those other emissions is the only plausible way in which to decrease warming during that period.”). See also Lelieveld J., Klingmüller K., Pozzer A., Burnett R. T., Haines A., & Ramanathan V. (2019) Effects of fossil fuel and total anthropogenic emission removal on public health and climate, Proc. Nat’l. Acad. Sci. 116(15): 7192–7197, 7194 (“Some near-term mitigation can be achieved from the simultaneous reduction of short-lived greenhouse gases such as methane (CH₄), O₃, and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) (15, 23–25). Fossil-fuel-related CH₄ emissions constitute nearly 20% of the total source, and removing all anthropogenic CH₄ (nearly 60% of the source), in addition to anthropogenic O₃, would limit the near-term warming to 0.36±0.06 °C. While the current climate forcing of HFCs is still small, it will be critical to prevent increases in the future, as they are potent greenhouse gases (26). Table 1 presents the unavoidable net warming from emission control measures that simultaneously affect aerosols and greenhouse gases, which have many sources in common. SI Appendix, Table S1 lists these results for all countries, including the uncertainty intervals.”).

SLCP emissions thins the SP blanket within few decades, given the shorter lifetimes of SLCPs (weeks for BC to about 15 years for HFCs). The mitigation potential of the SP lever with a maximum deployment of current technologies … is about 0.6 °C by 2050 and 1.2 °C by 2100 (SI Appendix, Fig. SSB and Table S1.").

90 Shindell D., et al. (2012) Simultaneously Mitigating Near-Term Climate Change and Improving Human Health and Food Security, SCIENCE 335(6065): 183–189, 183–185 ("The global mean response to the CH\textsubscript{4} plus BC measures was −0.54 ± 0.05°C in the climate model. …Roughly half the forcing is relatively evenly distributed (from the CH\textsubscript{4} measures). The other half is highly inhomogeneous, especially the strong BC forcing, which is greatest over bright desert and snow or ice surfaces. Those areas often exhibit the largest warming mitigation, making the regional temperature response to aerosols and ozone quite distinct from the more homogeneous response to well-mixed greenhouse gases…. BC albedo and direct forcings are large in the Himalayas, where there is an especially pronounced response in the Karakoram, and in the Arctic, where the measures reduce projected warming over the next three decades by approximately two thirds and where regional temperature response patterns correspond fairly closely to albedo forcing (for example, they are larger over the Canadian archipelago than the interior and larger over Russia than Scandinavia or the North Atlantic."). See also United Nations Environment Programme & World Meteorological Organization (2011) INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT OF BLACK CARBON AND TROPOSPHERIC OZONE, 262 ("Large impacts of the measures examined here were also seen for the Arctic despite the minimal amount of emissions currently taking place there. This occurs due to the high sensitivity of the Arctic both to pollutants that are transported there from remote sources and to radiative forcing that takes place in areas of the northern hemisphere outside the Arctic. The 16 measures examined here, including the measures on pellet stoves and coal briquettes, reduce warming in the Arctic by 0.7 °C (range 0.2 to 1.3 °C) at 2040. This is a large portion of the 1.1 °C (range 0.7 to 1.7 °C) warming projected under the reference scenario for the Arctic, and hence implementation of the measures would be virtually certain to substantially slow, but not halt, the pace of Arctic climate change.").

91 Allen M. R., et al. (2018) Chapter 1: Framing and Context, in GLOBAL WARMING OF 1.5 °C, Masson-Delmotte V. P., et al. (eds.), Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 61 ("If emission reductions do not begin until temperatures are close to the proposed limit, pathways remaining below 1.5°C necessarily involve much faster rates of net CO\textsubscript{2} emission reductions (Figure 1.4, green lines), combined with rapid reductions in non-CO\textsubscript{2} forcing and these pathways also reach 1.5°C earlier. Note that the emissions associated with these schematic temperature pathways may not correspond to feasible emission scenarios, but they do illustrate the fact that the timing of net zero emissions does not in itself determine peak warming: what matters is total cumulative emissions up to that time. Hence every year’s delay before initiating emission reductions decreases by approximately two years the remaining time available to reach zero emissions on a pathway still remaining below 1.5°C (Allen and Stocker, 2013; Leach et al., 2018."). See also United Nations Environment Programme & Climate & Clean Air Coalition (2021) GLOBAL METHANE ASSESSMENT: BENEFITS AND COSTS OF MITIGATING METHANE EMISSIONS, 20 ("For the 2015 United Nations (UN) Paris Agreement to succeed, reducing anthropogenic methane in addition to carbon dioxide is paramount. Currently the largest contributor to the departure from an idealized path to the 2°C target used in the IPCC’s Fifth Assessment Report is the growth in methane amounts (Figure 1.3). Achieving the more stringent 1.5°C target requires even larger decreases in methane. The IPCC’s 2018 Special Report concluded that reaching a sustainable mitigation pathway to 1.5°C can only be achieved with deep and simultaneous reductions of carbon dioxide and all non-carbon dioxide climate forcing emissions, including short-lived climate pollutants such as methane.").


94 United Nations Environment Programme & Climate & Clean Air Coalition (2021) GLOBAL METHANE ASSESSMENT: BENEFITS AND COSTS OF MITIGATING METHANE EMISSIONS, 17 ("Mitigation of methane is very likely the strategy with the greatest potential to decrease warming over the next 20 years.").

95 United Nations Environment Programme & Climate & Clean Air Coalition (2021) GLOBAL METHANE ASSESSMENT: BENEFITS AND COSTS OF MITIGATING METHANE EMISSIONS, 8 ("Reducing human-caused methane emissions is one of the most cost-effective strategies to rapidly reduce the rate of warming and contribute significantly to global efforts to limit temperature rise to 1.5°C. Available targeted methane measures, together with additional measures that contribute to priority development goals, can simultaneously reduce human-caused methane emissions by as much as 45 per cent, or 180 million tonnes a year
(Mt/yr) by 2030. This will avoid nearly 0.3°C of global warming by the 2040s and complement all long-term climate change mitigation efforts. It would also, each year, prevent 255,000 premature deaths, 775,000 asthma-related hospital visits, 73 billion hours of lost labor from extreme heat, and 26 million tonnes of crop losses globally.

96 United Nations Environment Programme & Climate & Clean Air Coalition (2021) Global Methane Assessment: Benefits and Costs of Mitigating Methane Emissions, 78 (“The total valuation per tonne of methane for all market and non-market impacts assessed here is roughly US$ 4,300 using a cross-country income elasticity for WTP of 1.0 and US$ 7,900 using an elasticity of 0.4 (Figure 3.19) – values are ~US$ 150 per tonne larger for fossil-related emissions. This value is dominated by mortality effects, of which US$ 2,500 are due to ozone and ~US$ 700 are due to heat using the more conservative 500 deaths per million tonnes of methane of this analysis’ two global-scale estimates and a WTP income elasticity of 1.0, followed by climate impacts.”).

97 United Nations Environment Programme & Climate & Clean Air Coalition (2021) Global Methane Assessment: Benefits and Costs of Mitigating Methane Emissions, 21 (“The short lifetime of methane, and the quick response of methane abundance to reduced emissions described earlier, mean that any action taken to reduce emissions will have an immediate pay off for climate in addition to the current and near-future human health and agricultural production. Observations over the past few decades have shown that decreased emissions lead quickly to lower methane levels relative to those that could be expected in the absence of the decreases. That is, there are no mechanisms that offset the decreases even though there are significant natural sources. Simply put, natural emissions do not make up for the decrease in anthropogenic emission. Indeed, the expectation that a reduction in emissions will yield quick results, in the order of a decade, is confirmed and emphasizes the importance of methane.”).

98 Ocko I. B., Sun T., Shindell D., Oppenheimer M., Hristov A. N., Pacala S.W., Mauzerall D. L., Xu Y., & Hamburg S. P. (2021) Acting rapidly to deploy readily available methane mitigation measures by sector can immediately slow global warming, Environ. Res. Lett. 16(5): 054042 (“Pursuing all mitigation measures now could slow the global-mean rate of near-term decadal warming by around 30%, avoid a quarter of a degree centigrade of additional global-mean warming by midcentury, and set ourselves on a path to avoid more than half a degree centigrade by end of century. On the other hand, slow implementation of these measures may result in an additional tenth of a degree of global-mean warming by midcentury and 5% faster warming rate (relative to fast action), and waiting to pursue these measures until midcentury may result in an additional two tenths of a degree centigrade by midcentury and 15% faster warming rate (relative to fast action)”.

99 United Nations Environment Programme & Climate & Clean Air Coalition (2021) Global Methane Assessment: Benefits and Costs of Mitigating Methane Emissions, 10 (“The levels of methane mitigation needed to keep warming to 1.5°C will not be achieved by broader decarbonization strategies alone. The structural changes that support a transformation to a zero-carbon society found in broader strategies will only achieve about 30 per cent of the methane reductions needed over the next 30 years. Focused strategies specifically targeting methane need to be implemented to achieve sufficient methane mitigation. At the same time, without relying on future massive-scale deployment of unproven carbon removal technologies, expansion of natural gas infrastructure and usage is incompatible with keeping warming to 1.5°C. (Sections 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3)).

100 S.B. 1383, 2015–2016 Leg. (Cal. 2016) (“The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 designates the State Air Resources Board as the state agency charged with monitoring and regulating sources of emissions of greenhouse gases. The state board is required to approve a statewide greenhouse gas emissions limit equivalent to the statewide greenhouse gas emissions level in 1990 to be achieved by 2020. The state board is also required to complete a comprehensive strategy to reduce emissions of short-lived climate pollutants, as defined, in the state. This bill would require the state board, no later than January 1, 2018, to approve and begin implementing that comprehensive strategy to reduce emissions of short-lived climate pollutants to achieve a reduction in methane by 40%, hydrofluorocarbon gases by 40%, and anthropogenic black carbon by 50% below 2013 levels by 2030, as specified. The bill also would establish specified targets for reducing organic waste in landfills.”).

101 United States Climate Alliance (2018) From SLCP Challenge to Action: A Roadmap for Reducing Short-Lived Climate Pollutants to Meet the Goals of the Paris Agreement, 11 (“Significant additional opportunities exist to cut methane emissions quickly and cost effectively across the U.S. Capturing the full potential of expected reduction opportunities, as described in Appendix A, could reduce methane emissions by 40-50 percent below current levels in the U.S. Climate Alliance. Existing and emerging strategies and technologies can achieve these reductions by 2030.”).

102 United States Climate Alliance (2018) From SLCP Challenge to Action: A Roadmap for Reducing Short-Lived Climate Pollutants to Meet the Goals of the Paris Agreement, 11 (“There is an opportunity for the U.S. Climate
Alliance to help fulfill the commitment by the U.S., Canada, and Mexico to implement federal regulations on new and existing sources in the oil and gas sector to reduce methane emissions by 40-45 percent below 2012 levels by 2025.”). In 2016, the U.S., Canada, and Mexico committed to reducing methane emissions in the oil and gas sector by 40–45% by 2025 (compared to 2012 levels). See White House Office of the Press Secretary (29 June 2016) Leaders’ Statement on a North American Climate, Clean Energy, and Environment Partnership, 2 (“Today, Mexico will join Canada and the United States in committing to reduce their methane emissions from the oil and gas sector – the world’s largest methane source – 40% to 45% by 2025, towards achieving the greenhouse gas targets in our nationally determined contributions. To achieve this goal, the three countries commit to develop and implement federal regulations to reduce emissions from existing and new sources in the oil and gas sector as soon as possible. We also commit to develop and implement national methane reduction strategies for key sectors such as oil and gas, agriculture, and waste management, including food waste.”).

103 United States Climate Alliance (2018) From SLCP Challenge to Action: A Roadmap for Reducing Short-Lived Climate Pollutants to Meet the Goals of the Paris Agreement, 15 (“Significant opportunities for reducing methane emissions from landfills and capturing value can be seized by reducing food loss and waste, diverting organic waste to beneficial uses, and improving landfill management. These and other actions collectively could reduce methane emissions from waste by an estimated 40-50 percent by 2030 (Appendix A). Such efforts could add value in our states by reducing emissions of volatile organic compounds and toxic air contaminants from landfills, recovering healthy food for human consumption in food insecure communities, supporting healthy soils and agriculture, generating clean energy and displacing fossil fuel consumption, and providing economic opportunities across these diverse sectors. Many of these benefits will accrue in low-income and disadvantaged communities.”).

104 United States Climate Alliance (2018) From SLCP Challenge to Action: A Roadmap for Reducing Short-Lived Climate Pollutants to Meet the Goals of the Paris Agreement, 13 (“Actions to improve manure management and to reduce methane from enteric fermentation have the potential to significantly reduce agricultural methane emissions across U.S. Climate Alliance states . . . . Promising technologies are also emerging that may cut methane emissions from enteric fermentation by 30 percent or more (Appendix A). Developing strategies that work for farmers and surrounding communities can significantly reduce methane emissions, increase and diversify farm revenues, and support water quality and other environmental benefits.”). See also Ross E. G., et al. (2020) Effect of SOP “STAR COW” on Enteric Gaseous Emissions and Dairy Cattle Performance, SUSTAINABILITY 12(24): 1–12, 1 (“The aim of this study was to investigate the efficacy of the commercial feed additive SOP STAR COW (SOP) to reduce enteric emissions from dairy cows and to assess potential impacts on milk production. … SOP-treated cows over time showed a reduction in CH4 of 20.4% from day 14 to day 42 (p = 0.014), while protein % of the milk was increased (+4.9% from day 0 to day 14 (p = 0.036) and +6.5% from day 0 to day 42 (p = 0.002)).”.

105 United States Climate Alliance (2018) From SLCP Challenge to Action: A Roadmap for Reducing Short-Lived Climate Pollutants to Meet the Goals of the Paris Agreement, 13 (“Actions to improve manure management and to reduce methane from enteric fermentation have the potential to significantly reduce agricultural methane emissions across U.S. Climate Alliance states. Improving manure storage and handling, composting manure, utilizing pasture-based systems, or installing anaerobic digesters significantly reduces methane from manure management on dairy, swine, and other livestock operations. These practices may reduce methane from manure management by as much as 70 percent in U.S. Climate Alliance states (Appendix A) and can help improve soil quality and fertility, reduce water use and increase water quality, reduce odors, and decrease the need for synthetic fertilizers and associated greenhouse gas emissions . . . . Developing strategies that work for farmers and surrounding communities can significantly reduce methane emissions, increase and diversify farm revenues, and support water quality and other environmental benefits.”). See also Borgonovo F., et al. (2019) Improving the Sustainability of Dairy Slurry with a Commercial Additive Treatment, SUSTAINABILITY 11(18): 1–14, 8 (claiming that additives treating liquid manure of dairy cows, made from agricultural gypsum processed with proprietary technology [SOP LAGOON], showed significant reductions of climate emissions from waste slurry, eliminating ammonia and N2O, and significantly reducing CH4 and CO2; “N2O, CO2, and CH4 emissions, from the treated slurry, were respectively 100%, 22.9% and 21.5% lower than the control at T4 [Day 4] when the emission peaks were recorded.”).

106 White House Office of the Press Secretary (29 June 2016) Leaders’ Statement on a North American Climate, Clean Energy, and Environment Partnership (“Today, Mexico will join Canada and the United States in committing to reduce their methane emissions from the oil and gas sector – the world’s largest industrial methane source – 40% to 45% by 2025, towards achieving the greenhouse gas targets in our nationally determined contributions. To achieve this goal, the three countries commit to develop and implement federal regulations to reduce emissions from existing and new sources in the oil and gas sector as soon as possible. We also commit to develop and implement national methane reduction strategies for key sectors such as oil and
gas, agriculture, and waste management, including food waste.”). See also White House Office of the Press Secretary (29 June 2016) North American Climate, Clean Energy, and Environment Partnership Action Plan (“Reduce methane emissions from the oil and gas sector, the world’s largest industrial methane source, 40-45% by 2025 towards achieving the greenhouse gas targets in our nationally determined contributions, and explore additional opportunities for methane reductions. The three countries commit to develop and implement federal regulations for both existing and new sources as soon as possible to achieve the target. We intend to invite other countries to join this ambitious target or develop their own methane reduction goal.”).

European Commission (17 September 2020) Stepping up Europe’s 2030 Climate Ambition, Investing in a Climate Neutral Future for the Benefit of our People, 2 (“The present Communication therefore: 1. Presents an EU-wide, economy-wide greenhouse gas emissions reduction target by 2030 compared to 1990 of at least 55% including emissions and removals. The EU is implementing its current 2030 climate target of at least 40% greenhouse gas emissions reductions through three key pieces of climate legislation.”).

European Commission (14 October 2020) Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions on an EU Strategy to Reduce Methane Emissions, 2 (“Nevertheless, the 2030 climate target plan’s impact assessment found methane will continue to be the EU’s dominant non-CO₂ greenhouse gas. It concluded that stepping up the level of ambition for reductions in greenhouse gas emissions to at least 55% by 2030 compared to 1990 would also require an accelerated effort to tackle methane emissions, with projections indicating a step up needed to 35% to 37% methane emission reductions by 2030 compared to 2005.”).

European Commission (14 October 2020) Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions on an EU Strategy to Reduce Methane Emissions, 13 ("In line with the 2030 Climate Target Plan the Effort Sharing Regulation (ESR) (which covers methane emissions from agriculture), will now be reviewed to reflect the increased carbon reduction target providing for increased incentives to reduce methane emissions.”).

European Commission (14 October 2020) Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions on an EU Strategy to Reduce Methane Emissions, 7 (“The Commission will also review the National Emission Reduction Commitments (NEC) Directive by 2025 and, as part of this review, explore the possible inclusion of methane among the regulated pollutants.”).

van Dingenen R., Crippa M., Maenhout G., Guizzardi D., & Dentener F. (2018) Global Trends of Methane Emissions and Their Impacts on Ozonede Concentrations, Publications of the Office of the European Union, 47 (“The relevance of CH₄ mitigation efforts can be put in perspective applying its global warming potential of 28 (Myhre et al., 2013) to evaluate the CO₂e emissions. Relative to the year 2010, the most stringent emission scenarios (i.e. MTRF or a 2° scenario) lead to a CO₂e emission reduction of 2.4 to 3.7 Gt annually in 2030 and 2.9 to 5.1 Gt in 2050 whereas the high emission scenarios lead to an emission increase of 1.6 to 3.6 Gt CO₂e in 2030 (3.1 to 8.6 Gt in 2050). The UNEP Emission Gap Report 2017 (UNEP, 2017) indicates that, in order to meet the year 2100 2° target, by 2030, additionally to the NDCs 11 to 13.5 GtCO₂e emission reductions have to be achieved. The stringent emission control scenarios in our analysis foresee a reduction of -2 to -4 GtCO₂e relative to the GECO INDC scenario by 2030, hence contributing 15 to 33% to the required emission gap closure. In contrast, under non-ambitious CH₄ mitigation scenarios, the total mitigation effort needed for reducing emissions of other GHGs would increase by 2 to 4Gt CO₂e.”).

Climate & Clean Air Coalition, A Global Alliance to Significantly Reduce Methane Emissions in the Oil and Gas Sector by 2030 (last accessed 5 February 2021) (“Absolute reduction target of at least 45% reduction in methane emissions by 2025 and 60% to 75% by 2030 . . . are realistic and achievable targets, especially in a sector where technology and financing are largely available, and innovation supports even larger reductions . . . Savings across the oil and gas industry in line with the Global Methane Alliance could reduce global emissions by 6 gigatons CO₂e by 2030. According to the UNEP Emissions Gap Report 2019, this would achieve between 20%-50% of the emissions required to limit climate warming to 2-degrees.”). See also Nisbet E. G., et al. (2020) Methane Mitigation: Methods to Reduce Emissions, on the Path to the Paris Agreement, Rev. Geophys, 58(e2019RG000675): 1–51, 1 (“Many methane mitigation options offer cost-effective approaches to cut global warming and bring the amount of methane in the air back to a pathway that is consistent with the aims of the Paris Agreement.”).

Clean Air Task Force, Oil and Gas Mitigation Program (last visited 5 February 2021) (“Compared to other climate change solutions, methane mitigation from the oil and gas sector is relatively cheap. Some measures actually save money, when the extra revenue from selling gas that would otherwise be released into the air is factored in. The International Energy Agency..."
(IEA) estimates that globally, a 75% reduction in oil and gas methane is possible with today’s technology, and a 50% reduction is possible at no net cost. Just a 50% cut would have the same long-term climate impact as closing all the coal plants in China. Many national and sub-national governments have already moved forward with strong oil and gas methane regulations that require mitigation from some or all of these sources. Some of the strongest current regulations can be found in Canada, Colorado, California, and Mexico.”). See also United Nations Environment Programme (2011) NEAR-TERM CLIMATE PROTECTION AND CLEAN AIR BENEFITS: ACTIONS FOR CONTROLLING SHORT-LIVED CLIMATE FORCERS, x (“About 50 per cent of both methane and black carbon emission reductions can be achieved through measures that result in net cost savings (as a global average) over their technical lifetime. The savings occur when initial investments are offset by subsequent cost savings from, for example, reduced fuel use or utilization of recovered methane. A further third of the total methane emission reduction could be addressed at relatively moderate costs.”).

114 United States Office of Management and Budget (2021) Budget of the U.S. Government: Fiscal Year 2022, The White House: Washington D.C., 1–74, 22 (“The Budget also proposes $485 million to support other multilateral climate initiatives, including $100 million for international climate adaptation programs.”). See also United States Department of State (2021) Congressional Budget Justification: Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Program, Fiscal Year 2022, Washington D.C., 1–168, 124, 125 (“Clean Technology Fund (CTF): $300.0 million for a contribution to the CTF, of which up to $270.0 million may be used for the subsidy cost of a loan…. Multilateral Climate Change Adaptation Funds: The Budget also includes $100 million for the Department of State to make contributions to multilateral climate adaptation funds.”); “Montreal Protocol Multilateral Fund ($64 million): The request doubles U.S. funding for the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol (MLF).”; and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2021) Fiscal Year 2022, Justification of Appropriation Estimates for the Committee on Appropriations, EPA-190-R-21-002, 160 (See FY 2022 Pres. Budget request for the Multilateral Fund: $18,000.0 (Dollars in Thousands)).

115 Jackson R. B., et al. (2020) Increasing anthropogenic methane emissions arise equally from agricultural and fossil fuel sources, ENVIRON. RES. LETT. 15(071002): 1–7, 6 (“Increased emissions from both the agriculture and waste sector and the fossil fuel sector are likely the dominant cause of this global increase (figures 1 and 4), highlighting the need for stronger mitigation in both areas. Our analysis also highlights emission increases in agriculture, waste, and fossil fuel sectors from southern and southeastern Asia, including China, as well as increases in the fossil fuel sector in the United States (figure 4). In contrast, Europe is the only continent in which methane emissions appear to be decreasing. While changes in the sink of methane from atmospheric or soil uptake remains possible (Turner et al 2019), atmospheric chemistry and land-surface models suggest the timescales for sink responses are too slow to explain most of the increased methane in the atmosphere in recent years. Climate policies overall, where present for methane mitigation, have yet to alter substantially the global emissions trajectory to date.”).

116 Clean Air Task Force, Oil and Gas Mitigation Program (last visited 5 February 2021) (“Fortunately, most leaks are straightforward to repair (and fixing leaks is paid for by the value of the gas that is saved by repairing them). Further, finding leaks has become efficient with modern technology. The standard approach today is to use special cameras that can detect infrared light (think of night-vision goggles) which are tuned to make methane, which is invisible to our eyes, visible. They allow inspectors to directly image leaking gas in real time, with the ability to inspect entire components (not just connections and other areas most likely to leak) and pinpoint the precise source, making repair more straightforward. And, technology promises to make this process even more efficient (and cheaper) over the coming years. These technologies can be utilized to reduce harmful leak emissions, by using regular inspections as the lynchpin of rigorous “leak detection and repair” (LDAR) programs. These programs require operators to regularly survey all of their facilities for leaks and improper emissions, and repair all the leaks they identify in a reasonable time. For example, California requires operators to survey all sites four times a year, Colorado has a different approach, requiring operators of the largest sites to survey them monthly, but requiring less frequent inspections for site with smaller potential emissions.”).

117 Clean Air Task Force, Oil and Gas Mitigation Program (last visited 5 February 2021) (Listing pneumatic equipment venting, compressor seal venting, tank venting, well completion venting, oil well venting and flaring, and dehydrator venting as sources of the “biggest mitigation opportunities.”).

118 Clean Air Task Force, Oil and Gas Mitigation Program (last visited 5 February 2021) (“Venting is even more harmful than flaring, since methane warms the climate so powerfully, and VOC and toxic pollutants are released unabated. Venting of this gas should be prohibited in all cases as an absolutely unnecessary source of harmful air pollution. There are numerous lowcost (and usually profitable) ways to utilize natural gas from oil wells. Flaring should be a last resort: only in the most extreme cases
should oil producers be allowed to flare gas, and it should be strictly a temporary measure. Rules prohibiting venting of natural gas can easily reduce emissions by 95 percent.”).

Clean Air Task Force, Oil and Gas Mitigation Program (last visited 5 February 2021) (“Operators often vent and flare natural gas at oil wells. This waste occurs when oil producers, driven by the rush to sell oil, simply dispose of the gas from producing oil wells instead of building infrastructure (such as pipelines) to capture gas as soon as production begins. (In some cases, pipelines are never built and all of the gas the well produces over its lifetime is wasted in this way, as can be seen in sales records for individual wells available from state regulators.) While a substantial portion of this gas is flared off—wasting energy and producing large amounts of carbon dioxide and other pollutants—some is just dumped into the air, or vented. Even in cases where a gas pipeline is not connected, there are a variety of other technologies that operators can use to reduce associated gas flaring at oil wells. Venting is even more harmful than flaring, since methane warms the climate so powerfully, and VOC and toxic pollutants are released unabated. Venting of this gas should be prohibited in all cases as an absolutely unnecessary source of harmful air pollution. There are numerous lowcost (and usually profitable) ways to utilize natural gas from oil wells. Flaring should be a last resort: only in the most extreme cases should oil producers be allowed to flare gas, and it should be strictly a temporary measure. Rules prohibiting venting of natural gas can easily reduce emissions by 95 percent.”). See also World Bank, Zero Routine Flaring by 2030 (last visited 4 February 2021) (“This “Zero Routine Flaring by 2030” initiative (the Initiative), introduced by the World Bank, brings together governments, oil companies, and development institutions who recognize the flaring situation described above is unsustainable from a resource management and environmental perspective, and who agree to cooperate to eliminate routine flaring no later than 2030.”).

United States Climate Alliance (2018) From SLCP Challenge to Action: A Roadmap for Reducing Short-Lived Climate Pollutants to Meet the Goals of the Paris Agreement, 13 (“Actions to improve manure management and to reduce methane from enteric fermentation have the potential to significantly reduce agricultural methane emissions across U.S. Climate Alliance states. Improving manure storage and handling, composting manure, utilizing pasture-based systems, or installing anaerobic digesters significantly reduces methane from manure management on dairy, swine, and other livestock operations. These practices may reduce methane from manure management by as much as 70 percent in U.S. Climate Alliance states (Appendix A) and can help improve soil quality and fertility, reduce water use and increase water quality, reduce odors, and decrease the need for synthetic fertilizers and associated greenhouse gas emissions. Promising technologies are also emerging that may cut methane emissions from enteric fermentation by 30 percent or more (Appendix A). Developing strategies that work for farmers and surrounding communities can significantly reduce methane emissions, increase and diversify farm revenues, and support water quality and other environmental benefits.”). See also Höglund-Isaksson L., Gómez-Sanabria A., Kliment Z., Rafaj P., & Schöpp W. (2020) Technical potentials and costs for reducing global anthropogenic methane emissions in the 2050 timeframe—results from the GAINS model, Environ. Res. Comm. 2(025004): 1–21, 13–14 (“The technical abatement potential for agricultural sources is assessed at 21 percent below baseline emissions in year 2050. This includes relatively limited abatement potentials for livestock of 12 percent due to applicability limitations (see section S3.4. in the SI for details). Large farms with more than 100 LSU contribute about a third of global CH4 emissions from livestock and for this group we find it technically feasible to reduce emissions by just over 30 percent below baseline emissions in year 2050 (see figures S6–2 in the SI). The available options include reduction of enteric fermentation emissions through animal feed changes (Gerberetal 2013, Hristov et al 2013) combined with implementation of breeding schemes that simultaneously target genetic traits for improved productivity and enhanced animal health/longevity and fertility. Increased productivity reduces system emissions by enabling the production of the same amount of milk using fewer animals. The dual objective in breeding schemes is important as a one-eyed focus on increased productivity leads to deteriorating animal health and fertility and a risk that system emissions increase due to a need to keep a larger fraction of unproductive replacement animals in the stock (Lovett et al 2006, Berglund 2008, Bell et al 2011). The enteric fermentation options are considered economically feasible for commercial/industrial farms with more than 100 LSU but not for smaller- and medium- sized farms. Breeding schemes are assumed to deliver impacts on emissions only after 20 years and feed changes are assumed applicable only while animals are housed indoor. Emissions from manure management can be reduced through treatment of manure in anaerobic digesters (ADs) with biogas recovery. To be efficient from both an economic and environmental point of view, a certain scale is needed to accommodate both the fixed investment of the AD plant and the time farmers spend carefully attending to and maintaining the process (for details see section 3.3.1.3 in Höglund-Isaksson et al 2018).” and Borgenovo F., et al. (2019) Improving the sustainability of dairy slurries with a commercial additive treatment, Sustainability 11(4988): 1–14, 8 (“N2O, CO2, and CH4 emissions, from the treated slurry, were respectively 100%, 22.9% and 21.5% lower than the control at T4 when the emission peaks were recorded.”)).
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Operators often vent and flare natural gas at oil wells. This waste occurs when oil producers, driven by the rush to sell oil, simply dispose of the gas from producing oil wells instead of building infrastructure (such as pipelines) to capture gas as soon as production begins. (In some cases, pipelines are never built and all of the gas the well produces over its lifetime is wasted in this way, as can be seen in sales records for individual wells available from state regulators.) While a substantial portion of this gas is flared off—wasting energy and producing large amounts of carbon dioxide and other pollutants—some is just dumped into the air, or vented. Even in cases where a gas pipeline is not connected, there are a variety of other technologies that operators can use to reduce associated gas flaring at oil wells. Venting is even more harmful than flaring, since methane warms the climate so powerfully, and VOC and toxic pollutants are released unabated. Venting of this gas should be prohibited in all cases as an absolutely unnecessary source of harmful air pollution. There are numerous lowcost (and usually profitable) ways to utilize natural gas from oil wells. Flaring should be a last resort: only in the most extreme cases should oil producers be allowed to flare gas, and it should be strictly a temporary measure. Rules prohibiting venting of natural gas can easily reduce emissions by 95 percent.
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heir recent actions and statements show that they pay attention to the importance of reducing methane emissions, but also its long-term impact.

SOP® COCUS MAIZE+® may be used for reducing N [nitrogen] input (-30%) and N₂O emissions (-23%), while contemporarily maintaining maize yield. Hence, SCM can be considered an available tool to improve agriculture’s alignment to the United Nation Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs) and to comply with Europe’s Farm to Fork strategy for reducing N-fertilizer inputs.
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